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Sponsoring Institution
Kiamichi Technology Center
PO Box 825
Poteau, OK 74953
Phone: 918-647-2108
Institution Type: Vocational or Technical School

President/CEO
Shelley D. Free
Box 548
Wilburton, OK 74578
Phone: (888) 567-6807
Fax: (918) 465-3666
Email: sdfree@ktc.edu

Dean/Administrator
Shelley Free MSEd
PO Box 548
Wilburton, OK 74578
Phone: (888) 567-6807
Fax: (918) 465-2324
Email: sdfree@ktc.edu

Program Director
Gina Riggs MEd, NRP
Box 825
1509 South McKenna
Poteau, OK 74953
Phone: (918) 647-2108
Fax: 
Email: griggs@ktc.edu
Affiliates

Alliance Health - Clinical Affiliate - Durant, OK
Atoka County EMS - Clinical Affiliate - Atoka, OK
Blackwell Fire Department - Clinical Affiliate - Blackwell, OK
Booneville Community Hospital - Clinical Affiliate - Booneville, AR
Bryan County EMS - Clinical Affiliate - Durant, OK
Care One - Clinical Affiliate - Alma, AR
Central EMS - Clinical Affiliate - Fayetteville, AR
Chicotah EMS - Clinical Affiliate - Checotah, OK
Cherokee Nation - Clinical Affiliate - Tahlequah, OK
Choctaw Memorial Hospital - Clinical Affiliate - Hugo, OK
Choctaw Nation Health Care Center - Clinical Affiliate - Tahleihina, OK
Choctaw Nation Health Service Authority - Clinical Affiliate - Talihina, OK
Cleveland Area Hospital - Clinical Affiliate - Cleveland, OK
Coal County EMS - Clinical Affiliate - Coalgatte, OK
Cooke County EMS - Clinical Affiliate - Gainesville, TX
Denison Fire Dept - Clinical Affiliate - Denison, TX
Denton Fire Dept - Clinical Affiliate - Denton, TX
East Texas Medical Center EMS - Clinical Affiliate - Tyler, TX
Eastern Oklahoma Medical Center - Clinical Affiliate - Poteau, OK
EMS of LeFlore County - Clinical Affiliate - Poteau, OK
EMSA/AMR - Clinical Affiliate - Tulsa, OK
Fort Smith HMA, LLC d/b/a Sparks Medical Center, Summit Medical Center - Clinical Affiliate - Ft. Smith, AR
Ft Smith EMS - Clinical Affiliate - Ft Smith, AR
Haskell County Community Hospital - Clinical Affiliate - Stigler, OK
Hughes County EMS - Clinical Affiliate - Holdenville, OK
Johnson County EMS - Clinical Affiliate - Tishomingo, OK
Lifenet EMS - Clinical Affiliate - Stillwater, OK
Logan County EMS - Clinical Affiliate - Paris, AR
Love County EMS - Clinical Affiliate - Marietta, OK
Major County EMS - Clinical Affiliate - Fairview, OK
Marshall County EMS - Clinical Affiliate - Madill, OK
Mary Hurley Health Center - Clinical Affiliate - Coalgatte, OK
McAlester Fire Dept EMS - Clinical Affiliate - McAlester, OK
McAlester Regional Health Center - Clinical Affiliate - McAlester, OK
McCurtain County EMS - Clinical Affiliate - Idabel, OK
McCurtain Memorial Hospital - Clinical Affiliate - Idabel, OK
Meadowbrook Residential/Day Treatment - Clinical Affiliate - Howe, OK
Mena Regional Health System - Clinical Affiliate - Mena, AR
Mercy Health Fort Smith Communities - Clinical Affiliate - Ft. Smith, AR
Mercy Health Love County Hospital / EMS - Clinical Affiliate - Marietta, OK
Mercy Health Oklahoma Communities - Clinical Affiliate - Ada / El Reno, OK
Mercy Hospital of Ardmore, Inc. - Clinical Affiliate - Ardmore, OK
Mercy Hospital of Healdton, Inc. - Clinical Affiliate - Healdton, OK
Mercy Hospital of Scott County - Clinical Affiliate - Waldron, AR
Mercy Regional of Oklahoma - Clinical Affiliate - Owasso, OK
MESTA - Clinical Affiliate - Pryor, OK
Muscogee Creek Nation Dept of Health - Clinical Affiliate - Okmulgee, OK
Muskogee County EMS - Clinical Affiliate - Muskogee, OK
Office of County Judge - Clinical Affiliate - Ft. Smith, AR
Okmulgee County EMS - Clinical Affiliate - Okmulgee, OK
Pafford EMS - Clinical Affiliate - Sallisaw, OK
Pauls Valley General Hospital - Clinical Affiliate - Pauls Valley, OK
Rowland Flatt Clinic - Clinical Affiliate - Antlers, OK
Sebastian County EMS/Rescue - Clinical Affiliate - Greenwood, AR
Sequoyah Memorial Hospital - Clinical Affiliate - Sallisaw, OK
Sinor EMS - Clinical Affiliate - Clinton, OK
Southeast EMS of Oklahoma - Clinical Affiliate - Mena, AR
Southern OK Ambulance Service - Clinical Affiliate - Ardmore, OK
Southwest EMS, Inc. - Clinical Affiliate - Van Buren, AR
Sparks Health System - Clinical Affiliate - Ft. Smith, AR
Stigler Health & Wellness Center, Inc. - Clinical Affiliate - Sallisaw, OK
Stilwell Ambulance Service - Clinical Affiliate - Stilwell, OK
Stilwell Memorial Hospital - Clinical Affiliate - Stilwell, OK
Summit Medical Center - Clinical Affiliate - Van Buren, AR
Tahlequah City Ambulance - Clinical Affiliate - Tahlequah, OK
Wagoner Hospital Authority - Clinical Affiliate - Wagoner, OK

Satellites

Autry Tech Center - Satellite Campus - Enid, OK
Central Technology Center - Satellite Campus - Drumright, OK
High Plains Technology Center - Satellite Campus - Woodward, OK
Kiamichi Technology Center - Satellite Campus - Idabel, OK
Kiamichi Technology Center - Satellite Campus - Durant, OK
Kiamichi Technology Center - Satellite Campus - McAlester, OK
Mercy Health / Love County - Satellite Campus - Thackerville, OK
Mercy Health / Love County - Satellite Campus - Marietta, OK
Pontotoc Technology Center - Satellite Campus - Ada, OK
## Current Program Statistics

CoA Reference: 600280

Program Enrollment and Attrition Table with Current and Past Five Years' Data (if available):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enrollment Year</th>
<th>Enrollment Date</th>
<th>Graduation Date</th>
<th>Estimated Number of Applicants</th>
<th>Maximum Number of Students</th>
<th>Number Initially Enrolled</th>
<th>Number Enrolled After Class Start</th>
<th>Total Enrollment Number</th>
<th>'In Progress' To-Date</th>
<th>Non-Academic Attrition</th>
<th>General Education Courses Attrition</th>
<th>Professional Courses Attrition</th>
<th>Attrition</th>
<th>Percent Retention</th>
<th># Grads to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>4/25/2016</td>
<td>6/28/2017</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>4/23/2015</td>
<td>6/30/2016</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>64.3 %</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5/5/2014</td>
<td>6/29/2015</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>72.0 %</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>5/7/2013</td>
<td>6/26/2014</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>67.5 %</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>5/2/2012</td>
<td>6/26/2013</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>69.4 %</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>4/21/2011</td>
<td>6/26/2012</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>75.0 %</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>4/21/2010</td>
<td>6/29/2011</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>70.0 %</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Outcomes Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graduation Year, Class of...</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>Threshold</th>
<th>3 yr Total 2016 to 2014</th>
<th>5 yr Total 2016 to 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graduates</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes Assessments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 yr Avg 2016 to 2014</td>
<td>5 yr Avg 2016 to 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attrition</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>35.7 %</td>
<td>28.0 %</td>
<td>32.5 %</td>
<td>30.6 %</td>
<td>25.0 %</td>
<td>30.0 %</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.7 %</td>
<td>31.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>64.3 %</td>
<td>72.0 %</td>
<td>67.5 %</td>
<td>69.4 %</td>
<td>75.0 %</td>
<td>70.0 %</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>67.3 % *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Placement</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>96.8 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>96.4 %</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>62.0 % *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Registry Written - % of grads Attempting</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>82.4 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>90.3 %</td>
<td>66.7 %</td>
<td>85.7 %</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Registry Written - Pass Rate - Success</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>92.6 %</td>
<td>89.3 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>97.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Registry Practical - % of grads Attempting</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>82.4 %</td>
<td>96.3 %</td>
<td>83.9 %</td>
<td>66.7 %</td>
<td>89.3 %</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Registry Practical - Pass Rate - Success</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>90.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive Final Written - % of grads Attempting</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>82.4 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>96.8 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive Final Written - Pass Rate - Success</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive Final Practical - % of grads Attempting</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>96.8 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive Final Practical - Pass Rate - Success</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Exam Written - % of grads Attempting</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>82.4 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>83.9 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Exam Written - Pass Rate - Success</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>88.9 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>95.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Exam Practical - % of grads Attempting</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>82.4 %</td>
<td>96.3 %</td>
<td>83.9 %</td>
<td>66.7 %</td>
<td>89.3 %</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Exam Practical - Pass Rate - Success</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>90.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer Survey - % sent</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer Survey - Cognitive - Success</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer Survey - Psychomotor - Success</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer Survey - Affective - Success</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Survey - % sent</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>62.0 % *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrollment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>121</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Threshold not met
Graduates by Enrollment Cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enrollment Year</th>
<th>Enrollment Date</th>
<th>On-time Graduation Date</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th># Grads to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>4/25/2016</td>
<td>6/28/2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>5/7/2013</td>
<td>6/26/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>5/2/2012</td>
<td>6/26/2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>4/21/2011</td>
<td>6/26/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Graduates by Year = 0 27 17 27 31 15 28 145
Examination Results

Evaluation System: National Registry Written
Analysis: Above threshold.

This past cohort did very well at NREMT

Action: Continue rigorous testing through ODCTE final and FISDAP computer based testing.

Evaluation System: National Registry Practical
Analysis: Above Threshold

Our students are doing very well in practical testing at NREMT.

Action: Continue to bring in all distance ed students for scenario based laboratory skills and final practical skills testing.

Overseeing that (and encouraging) more skill instructors to conduct scenario based testing according to the NREMT Psychmotor Portfolio Competencies.

Evaluation System: Comprehensive Final Written
Analysis: Above threshold.

We use the ODCTE computer based testing as our final comprehensive testing. It is a tough exam and has a high first time fail rate or not "prepared" rate. It does require the students to go back and study to get through the program. Students are required to make a minimum score and can only test three times. They do get a different test each time and the State Department does annual item and test validation.

Plus, we are using FISDAP testing.

Action: Continue using ODCTE and FISDAP tests

Evaluation System: Comprehensive Final Practical
Analysis: Above the threshold.

We require each evaluator to be very diligent in grading the students with the highest standards for skills testing. We want the students to fail here and not at NREMT. So we are tougher in our scoring throughout and at the end of the program.

Action: Continue required all distance education students to come in for practical rigorous skills testing. Continue to prepare students for the future psychometric portfolio testing. And make sure all the distance education sites lab instructors are update and informed on the new scenario based testing requirements.

Instructors are implementing the NREMT scenarios and we are now using FISDAP to track all the laboratory skills---which has been a challenging but well worth it endeavor in the distance ed sites

Evaluation System: State Exam Written
Analysis: Computer based test conducted by Oklahoma Department of Career ad Technical Education as final course completion requirement also.

NO STATE test--we use ODCTE test

Action: Continue to utilize as it appears to be a very tough test and requires the students to study! Along with FISDAP testing.

Evaluation System: State Exam Practical
Analysis: Uses National Registry as our State Practical testing.

Action: Continue to monitor NREMT practical exam results. Staff is staying up on all the new psychomotor scenario testing guidelines.

FISDAP lab skills tracking is now being used and has been a life saver with the new portfolio scenarios!

Surveys - Cognitive Domain

Evaluation System: Employer Surveys - Cognitive
Cut Score: 2016 Surveys not conducted yet, due to it being before the six month period. We will send out surveys in January 2017 for employers and graduates

Analysis: 2015 Grads: No negative comments or ratings from Employers

Action: Continue to monitor

Evaluation System: Graduate Survey - Cognitive

Analysis: 2015 Surveys Graduates surveys did not have any negative comments for Cognitive domain. Rankings for this domain were very high.

Action: Suggestions from students were:

1. More training on ventilator setting - addressing this in airway ventilation section.

2. better communication between satellite programs. This is being worked on monthly. We are having more meetings and training with lab instructors and DL site coordinators. FISDAP is helping us with communications with the lab instructors.

Surveys - Psychomotor Domain
Evaluation System: Employer Surveys - Psychomotor
Cut Score: 2016 Grads: Surveys not due until January, 2017
Analysis: 2015 Grads: All surveys comments positive
Action: Continue to monitor

Evaluation System: Graduate Survey - Psychomotor
Cut Score: 2016- Grads surveys not conducted until January 2017.
Analysis: 2015 Grads: No negative comments
Action: 1. Using FISDAP for all skills tracking now.

**Surveys - Affective Domain**

Evaluation System: Employer Surveys - Affective
Cut Score: 2016 Grads: Surveys not due until next month.
Analysis: 2015 Grads: We had three negative comments in the affective domain from employers.
1. Conducts himself in a professional manner
2. Functions effectively as a member of the healthcare/EMS team
3. Is self-directed and responsible for his actions.

We had two students in our program who always did just enough to get by and were very young—-in fact one negative comment on this student was "I think the program did great. But it is hard to teach maturity."

Action: Continue to monitor
Review affective grading domain forms and policy.

Evaluation System: Graduate Survey - Affective
Analysis: 2015 All surveys were rated 4 or 5.
Action: We feel we have a great rubric and affective grading policy in place and have little if no issues with work ethics. Will continue to require students to pass the affective domain as a stand alone grade.

**Attrition / Retention**

Evaluation System: Attrition / Retention
Analysis: Most of our attrition students did not fail, but dropped due to personal reasons.

Attrition rate is too high but right in line with Oklahoma's attrition rate. Although our attrition rate did improve after CAAHEP. The last two years we have been above threshold and continue to work on this area.

Our Attrition rate is still too high and not sure what we can do to bring down the rate. We have implemented mandatory 40 EMT third rides if a student is not employed by an EMS agency prior to starting Paramedic clinicals.

We also implemented a new policy that all students must have an EMT license prior to beginning Paramedic class. Previous rules required them to obtain a license prior to entering clinical rotations.

Have to wonder if doing our program by distance education makes that much difference, but looking at the retention rate at the distance ed sites does not show those students withdrawing or failing out any more than the Poteau students where the teacher is located.

Action: New procedures have been implemented such as:
* New application process
* Ability to benefit testing
* 40 clinical rides by non-EMS employed students
* EMT license prior to enrollment in the Paramedic class
* Implemented a new pretesting system using Wonderlic

Most of our attrition students did not fail but dropped due to personal reasons.

Positive Placement

Evaluation System: Positive Placement
Analysis: All graduates are employed in EMS
Almost all our students are employed prior to graduation.
Action: None needed with placement percentages.
Program Information

Program Title: Emergency Medical Services - Paramedic

Name of certificate or degree awarded:

Program Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Award Level 1</th>
<th>Award Level 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Type of award granted:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Length of Program in Months:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Length of Program in Academic Sessions:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Total Credit Hours Required:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Type of Credits (e.g., semester, quarter):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Total Program Tuition and Fees - Resident:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Total Program Tuition and Fees - Non-Resident:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Program Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Fiscal Year to Date</th>
<th>Previous Fiscal Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Program's fiscal year begins on (month/date):</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Indicate the program's actual expenditures for:</td>
<td>Total $0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is the budget sufficient to ensure achievement of the program's goal and outcomes?:

No

Detailed Analysis of Insufficient Budget:

Action Plan for Insufficient Budget:
Distance Education

Is any portion of the program offered through distance learning?:

Percentage of the program delivered by distance:

List the courses that are totally web based (ie no face-to-face instruction):

List the courses that are interactive video conferencing to remote locations:

Description of Distance Mode List of courses delivered in that mode